# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

CIVIL ACTION NO:

RALPH J. VOLLMANN,
Plaintiff

vs.

ROGER LYNN GRUBB and
ALLIED SYSTEMS LTD.,
Defendants

### **PARTIES AND JURISDICTION**

- 1. Ralph J. Vollmann is domiciled at 73 Bryant Point Road, North Falmouth, Massachusetts.
- 2. The defendant, Roger Lynn Grubb is domiciled at 379 Stafford Avenue, Newark, Delaware.
- 3. The defendant, Allied Systems Ltd., is an existing and duly organized business located at 263 State Street, Chicago Heights, Illinois.
- 4. The United States District of Massachusetts has jurisdiction of this action by reason of diversity of citizenship of the parties. The matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs.

## COUNTI

- 5. Plaintiff repeats paragraphs 1-3 as if expressly written and realleged herein.
- 6. Plaintiff Ralph J. Vollmann says that on April 3, 2003, at approximately 10:40pm, he was operating his motor vehicle with due care on MacArthur Boulevard in Bourne, Barnstable County, Massachusetts.
- At or about the same time and location, Defendant Roger Lynn Grubb was owner and operator of a cab transporting a trailer owned by Defendant Allied Systems Ltd. on MacArthur Boulevard, Bourne, Barnstable County, Massachusetts.
- 8. Defendant Roger Lynn Grubb so carelessly and negligently operated his cab and trailer causing a collision with the vehicle that the Plaintiff, Ralph J. Vollmann, was operating.

9. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Roger Lynn Grubb's negligence and the ensuing accident, Plaintiff sustained personal injuries, incurred costs for medical treatment, lost wages and earning capacity, was and continues to be restricted in his activities, and has otherwise been injured.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Ralph J. Vollmann, demands judgment against Defendant Roger Lynn Grubb in an amount determined by the trier of fact, plus interest and costs.

#### COUNT II

- 10. Plaintiff repeats paragraphs 1-9 as if expressly written and realleged herein.
- 11. Defendant Allied Systems Ltd. was the registered owner of the trailer operated by Defendant Grubb at the time of the accident.
- 12. At the time of the accident alleged in the complaint, Defendant Grubb was in the course of his employment for Defendant Allied Systems Ltd. and was acting within the scope of his employment.
- 13. As such Defendant Allied Systems Ltd. had the right of control over Defendant Grubb's operation of the vehicle at all times herein concerned and is legally responsible for Defendant Grubb's negligence and the resulting injuries to the plaintiff.
- 14. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence for which the Defendant Allied Systems Ltd. is responsible, Plaintiff sustained personal injuries, incurred costs for medical treatment, lost wages and earning capacity, was and continues to be restricted in his activities, and has otherwise been injured.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Ralph J. Vollmann, demands judgment against Defendant Allied Systems Ltd. in an amount determined by the trier of fact, plus interest and costs.

PLAINTIFF DEMANDS A TRIAL BY JURY ON ALL ISSUES SO TRIABLE.

By Plaintiff's Attorneys,

Dated: 12/3/03

Paul Nathan, Esquire B.B.O. #367420

NATHAN & KREVAT

159 Derby Street

Salem, Massachusetts 01970

(978) 745-4455

RECEIPT #\_

AMOUN

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE

MAG.JUDGE\_

02/01/2000€ 1835 SJS 44 (Rev. 3/99)	827 A - 1 (ሕላ 🖰 ስላ ተካላታም	CIVIL (		SHEET			OI 4 PAGE	. <b>И</b> Б
The JS-44 civil cover she by law, except as providuse of the Clerk of Court	ect and the information ed by local rules of cour- for the purpose of initis	tained herein neither. This form, approve	er replace no d by the Jud theet. (SRE	r supplement the icial Conference INSTRUCTION	filin d s	cryice of pleadi	ngs or other pape	rs as requir quired for t
1. (a) PLAINTIFFS		EINSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)  DEFENDANTS						
Ralph J. Vol		<b>*</b>		Roger L	ynn Gru Systems	ibb , Ltd.		
(b) County of Residence	(b) County of Residence of First Liesed Plaintiff				County of Residence of First Listed			е
(E	EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTE	FF CASES)		NOTE: IN LA	(IN U.S.	PLAINTIFF CAS	SES ONLY) USE THE LOCATION	√ О <b>Р</b> ТНЕ
(c) Attorney's (Firm Na Paul Nathan, NATHAN & KREV 159 Derby Stranger, MA 01 11. BASIS OF JURIS	eet 970 (978) 7	45-4455	III CITI	Attorneys (If K	·			
	(For Div	ZENSHIP OF I	PRINCIP	AL PARTIES	Place as "X" in One and One Box for			
U.S. Government Plaintiff		n ment Not a Party)	Citizen	of This State 🔀	JI DI	Incorporated or of Business	r Principal Place	DIE
Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citi: in Item III)	zenship of Parties	Citizen	of Another State	2 €2	Incorporated an	nd Principal Place In Another State	□ 5   □:
	,		Citizen (	or Subject of a	3 🗆 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6 □ d
IV. NATURE OF SUI		One Box Only) ORTS		TURE/PENALTY		KRUPTCY		
160 Slockholders' Suits   190 Other Contract   195 Comfract Product Liability   REAL PROPERTY   210 Land Condemnation   220 Force losure   230 Rent Lease & Bjectment   240 Toris to Land   245 Tort Product Liability   290 All Other Real Property	Standor 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine	PERSONAL INJUR  362 Personal Injury— Med. Malpractice  365 Personal Injury— Froduct Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPER!  370 Other Fraud  371 Truis in Landing  380 Other Personal  Property Demage  385 Property Demage  Product Liability  PRISONER PETITIO  510 Motions to Va cate Sentance  Habers Corpus:  530 Gensral  535 Death Penalty  550 Civil Rights  555 Civil Rights  555 Prison Condison	620 c   625	Agriculture  Wher Food & Drug  Prug Related Scizure  of Propesty 2 t USC  Lquor Laws  L.R. & Truck  Liriha Rega.  Lecupational  Safety/Haalth  Ubor  LABOR  act  ct  cbor/Mgml. Relations  abor/Mgml. Relations  abor/Mgml. Reporting  Diadiosure Act  stilway Labor Act  (ther Labor Litigation  mpl. Ret. Inc.  scurity Act	422 App	eal 28 USC 158 idrawel SC 137 RTY RIGHTS prights idrawel SECURITY (13 95 ff) k Lung (923) C/DIW W (405 (g)) D Tale XVI (405(g)) L TAX SUITS (U.S. Plaintiff Fenda ni)	OTHER ST  400 Sizie Reap p 410 Antitusi 430 Banks and E 450 Commerce/ 450 Commerce/ 450 Commerce/ 250 Selective Se 251 Selective Se 252 Selective Se 253 Customer C 252 USC 341 253 Environm ent 254 Energy Albo 255 Freedom of 255 Information 256 App ea 256 Delective Se 257 Constitution 258 Selective Se 258 Constitution 258 Selective Se 258 Selective Se 258 Selective Selective 258 Selective Selective 258 Selective Selective 258 Selective 258 Selective Selective Selective 258 Selective Selective Selective Selective 258 Selective Selec	portionment  Banking  ICC Rates/etc.  Influenced and smirationa rvice ownmodities/ hallenge  Q  A cia tabilization Act at Matters spation Act  F of Fee Access to  litty of
⊠1 Original □ 2 Re	emoved from 3 R ale Court A	emanded from ppellste Court	4 Reinstated Reopened	or 🗆 5 (specif)	ared from district	□ 6 Multidistri Litigation	Distr Judge ہے۔	s from Strate
Diversity under 28 USC 1332 VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	Plaintiff w requiring s of infection U CHECK IT THIS UNDER F.R.CP.	as seriously urgery for he largery for he largery for he largery is a CLASS ACTION	injure is ankl	d in motor e and rema	CE	le accide spitalize ECK YES only i RY DEMAND;	f demanded in com	/03, complic
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY (2/30)03	,	TUDG	10ce -			NUMBER	YAL	H 0
OR OFFICE USE ONLY		HICHAFURE OF ATAIS	RNEY OF REC	ORD				
, <b></b>								

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

	Grubb	(NAME OF FIRST PARTY and Allied Sy	stems, Ltc.	<del></del>	Vollman vs. Roger Ly
2. CATE	GORY IN I	WHICH THE CASE BELONG	GS BASED LIPON THE	NIMBERED NATI	URE OF SUIT CODE LISTED ON THE CIVI
COVE	R SHEET.	(SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(	A)(1)).	NOWDERED HAT	DRE OF SUIT CODE LISTED ON THE CIVI
_	t.	180, 410, 470, R.23, REG	GARDLESS OF NATUR	E OF SUIT.	
<del></del>	11.	195, 388, 400, 440, 441- 740, 790, 791, 820*, 830*	444, 540, 550, 556 R26	710 720 720	*Also complete AO 120 or AO 121 for patent, trademark or copyright ca
_	m.	110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, ( 380, 385, 450, 891.	190, 210, 230, 240, 245 (50) 355, 360, 362, 365	, 290, 310, , 370, 371,	the state of the s
_	īV.	220, 422, 423, 430, 480, ( 690, 810, 861-865, 870, 8	510, 530, 610, 620,630 171, 875, 990.	, 640, 850, 660,	
_	V.	150, 152, 153.			
COURT	PRIDR AC' '?	TION BETWEEN THE SAMI	E PARTIES AND BASE	D ON THE SAME (	CLAIM EVERBEEN FILED IN THIS
				YES	NO
		[? (SEE 28 USC §2403) A. OR AN OFFICER, AGEN			ACT OF CONGRESS AFFECTING THE
				YES	NO
	CASE REC §2284?	QUIRED TO BE HEARD AND	D DETERMINED BYA		NO OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT TO TITLE
IS THIS 28 USC 9	OF THE DA	OTIES IN THUS A STATE OF		PISTRICT COURT	OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT TO TITLE
IS THIS 28 USC 9	OF THE DA	OTIES IN THUS A STATE OF		PISTRICT COURT	NO OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT TO TITLE NO
IS THIS 28 USC	OF THE PA NWEALTH VISION? -	ARTIES IN THIS ACTION, E OF MASSACHUSETTS ("G (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D	EXCLUDING GOVERNA FOVERNMENTAL AGE ()).	YES MENTAL AGENCIE NCIES"), RESIDIN	OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT TO TITLE  NO  SOFTHE UNITED STATES AND THE IG IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE IN THE  NO
IS THIS 28 USC	OF THE PANWEALTH VISION? -	ARTIES IN THIS ACTION, E OF MASSACHUSETTS ("G (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D F YES, IN WHICH DIVISION	EXCLUDING GOVERNM GOVERNMENTAL AGE I)). IN DO <u>ALL</u> OF THE NO!	YES MENTAL AGENCIE NCIES"), RESIDIN YES	OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT TO TITLE  NO  SOFTHE UNITED STATES AND THE IG IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE IN THE  NO
IS THIS 28 USC : DO ALL COMMON SAME DI	OF THE PANWEALTH VISION?	ARTIES IN THIS ACTION, E OF MASSACHUSETTS ("G (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D F YES, IN WHICH DIVISION	EXCLUDING GOVERNA GOVERNMENTAL AGE (1))). IN DO <u>ALL</u> OF THE NO! CENTRAL I	YES  MENTAL AGENCIE NCIES"), RESIDIN  YES  HGOVERNMENTA	OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT TO TITLE  NO  SOF THE UNITED STATES AND THE IG IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE IN THE  NO  L PARTES RESIDE?  WESTERN DIVISION
IS THIS 28 USC : DO ALL COMMON SAME DI	OF THE PANWEALTH VISION? -	ARTIES IN THIS ACTION, E OF MASSACHUSETTS ("G (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D F YES, IN WHICH DIVISION	EXCLUDING GOVERNMED AGE  OVERNMENTAL AGE  OF THE NO!	YES MENTAL AGENCIE NCIES"), RESIDIN YES NGOVERNMENTA	OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT TO TITLE  NO  SOF THE UNITED STATES AND THE IG IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE IN THE  NO  L PARTES RESIDE?  WESTERN DIVISION
IS THIS 28 USC : DO ALL COMMON SAME DI	OF THE PANWEALTH VISION? -	ARTIES IN THIS ACTION, E OF MASSACHUSETTS ("G (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D F YES, IN WHICH DIVISION) F NO. IN WHICH DIVISION	EXCLUDING GOVERNA FOVERNMENTAL AGE (1))). IN DO ALL OF THE NO! CENTRAL I DO THE MAJORITY OI ES, RESIDING IN MAS	YES  MENTAL AGENCIE NCIES"), RESIDIN  YES  NGOVERNMENTA  DIVISION  THE PLAINTIFFS SACHUSETTS RES	OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT TO TITLE  NO SOFTHE UNITED STATES AND THE IG IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE IN THE  NO L PARTIES RESIDE?  WESTERN DIVISION OR THE ONLY PARTIES, EXCLUDING BIDE?
IS THIS 28 USC 9  DO ALL COMMON SAME DO SAME D	OF THE PANWEALTH VISION? -	ARTIES IN THIS ACTION, E OF MASSACHUSETTS ("G (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D  F YES, IN WHICH DIVISION  F NO, IN WHICH DIVISION  FOUR THE SECOND OF TH	EXCLUDING GOVERNMENTAL AGE  NO ALL OF THE NOI  CENTRAL I  DO THE MAJORITY OF  ES, RESIDING IN MAS	YES  MENTAL AGENCIE NCIES"), RESIDIN  YES  NGOVERNMENTA  DIVISION  THE PLAINTIFFS SACHUSETTS RES	OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT TO TITLE  NO  SOF THE UNITED STATES AND THE IG IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE IN THE  NO  L PARTES RESIDE?  WESTERN DIVISION
IS THIS 28 USC 1 DO ALL COMMON SAME DIT	OF THE PANWEALTH VISION? -	ARTIES IN THIS ACTION, E OF MASSACHUSETTS ("G (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D  F YES, IN WHICH DIVISION  F NO, IN WHICH DIVISION  FOUR TOWNSHIP OF THE SERVICE  ASTERN DIVISION  T) Paul Nathan	EXCLUDING GOVERNMENTAL AGE  OVERNMENTAL AGE  OF THE NO.  CENTRAL I.  DO THE MAJORITY OF  ES., RESIDING IN MAS  CENTRAL D	YES  MENTAL AGENCIE NCIES"), RESIDIN  YES  H-GOVERNMENTA  DIVISION  THE PLAINTIFFS SACHUSETTS RES	OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT TO TITLE  NO  SOF THE UNITED STATES AND THE IG IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE IN THE  NO  L PARTIES RESIDE?  WESTERN DIVISION  OR THE ONLY PARTIES, EXCLUDING BIDE?  WESTERN DIVISION
IS THIS 28 USC 1 DO ALL COMMON SAME DIT	OF THE PANWEALTH VISION? -	ARTIES IN THIS ACTION, E OF MASSACHUSETTS ("G (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D  F YES, IN WHICH DIVISION  F NO, IN WHICH DIVISION  FOUR THE SECOND OF TH	EXCLUDING GOVERNMENTAL AGE  OVERNMENTAL AGE  OF THE NO.  CENTRAL I.  DO THE MAJORITY OF  ES., RESIDING IN MAS  CENTRAL D	YES  MENTAL AGENCIE NCIES"), RESIDIN  YES  H-GOVERNMENTA  DIVISION  THE PLAINTIFFS SACHUSETTS RES	OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT TO TITLE  NO  SOF THE UNITED STATES AND THE IG IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE IN THE  NO  L PARTIES RESIDE?  WESTERN DIVISION  OR THE ONLY PARTIES, EXCLUDING BIDE?  WESTERN DIVISION